Fifth Meeting of the Technical Co-operation Group (TCG 5):
Results of the post-meeting consultation
Result of post-meeting consultation

Following the fifth meeting of the Technical Co-operation Group (TCG), a consultation was carried out to get the participants views on a number of issues, including the way forward for Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) indicators 4.a.1(d), 4.2.3, 4.4.3, and 4.1.4, as well as the future and focus of the TCG Working Groups.

The consultation was answered by 17 respondents, representing 17 different organizations. Most of the answers came in support of the proposed recommendation.

Indicator 4.a.1(d) - proportion of schools with access to adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities

- Agreement on the proposed definitions of “adapted infrastructure” and “adapted materials”:
  - Yes: 87%
  - No: 13%

- Agreement on the recommended questions for data collection in national school censuses:
  - Yes: 77%
  - No: 23%

- Which schools should be counted as “schools with access to adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities”:
  - Schools where infrastructure and materials are accessible to students with at least one kind of disability (some students with disabilities may not have access to adapted infrastructure and materials)?
    - Yes: 50%
    - No: 50%
  - Schools where infrastructure and materials are accessible to all students with any kind of disability?
    - Yes: 50%
    - No: 50%
Indicator 4.2.3 - percentage of children under 5 years experiencing positive and stimulating home learning environments

- Agreement on the recommendation to use the set of questions used in the MICS Family Care Indicators as the standard data collection instrument for indicator 4.2.3:

![Agreement on indicator 4.2.3](Image)

Indicator 4.4.3 - youth/adult educational attainment rates by age group, economic activity status, levels of education and programme orientation

- Agreement of removing “economic activity status” as a dimension of disaggregation for reporting of indicator 4.4.3:

![Agreement on indicator 4.4.3](Image)
Working Group 3 – Data reporting, validation, and dissemination

- Agreement on the recommendation of WG3 to implement the opt-out process for each one of the reasons listed below:

- National Benchmarks
  - Agreement on the proposal to develop national benchmarks for as many global indicators as possible, in alignment with regional frameworks:

- Agreement that the UIS should support the development of national benchmarks, where possible, in collaboration with regional organizations:
Indicator 4.1.4 – *completion rate*

- Agreement on using estimations for reporting on SDG indicator 4.1.4:

Overall work of the TCG

- Agreement that the work of the TCG should focus on indicator development:

- Agreement that WG2 and WG3 should be dissolved:

- Agreement on having regional TCG meetings: